30732 Hilliard Coble Coburn Hinchey Collins Hinoiosa Combest Hobson Condit Hoeffel Cook Hoekstra Cooksey Holden Costello Holt Cox Hooley Coyne Horn Cramer Hostettler Crane Houghton Crowley Hover Cubin Hulshof Cummings Hunter Cunningham Hutchinson Danner Hvde Davis (FL) Inslee Davis (IL) Isakson Davis (VA) Istook Deal Jackson (IL) DeFazio Jackson-Lee DeGette (TX) Delahunt Jefferson DeLauro Jenkins DeLav John DeMint Johnson (CT) Deutsch Johnson, E. B. Diaz-Balart Johnson, Sam Dickey Jones (NC) Dicks Jones (OH) Dingell Kanjorski Dixon Kaptur Doggett Kasich Dooley Kellv Doolittle Kennedy Doyle Kildee Dreier Kilpatrick Duncan Kind (WI) Dunn King (NY) Edwards Kingston Ehlers Kleczka Ehrlich Klink Emerson Knollenberg Engel Kolbe English Kucinich Eshoo Kuykendall Etheridge LaFalce Evans LaHood Ewing Lampson Farr Lantos Fattah Largent Filner Larson Foley Latham Forbes LaTourette Ford Lazio Fossella Leach Fowler Franks (NJ) Lee Levin Frelinghuysen Lewis (CA) Frost Gallegly Lewis (GA) Lewis (KY) Ganske Linder Gejdenson Lipinski Gekas Genhardt LoBiondo Gibbons Lofgren Lowey Gilchrest Lucas (KY) Gillmor Lucas (OK) Gilman Gonzalez Luther Malonev (CT) Goode Goodlatte Maloney (NY) Goodling Manzullo Gordon Markey Goss Martinez Graham Mascara Matsui Granger McCarthy (MO) Green (TX) Green (WI) McCarthy (NY) McCollum Greenwood Gutierrez McCrery Gutknecht McDermott Hall (OH) McGovern Hall (TX) McHugh Hansen McInnis Hastert McIntyre Hastings (FL) McKeon Hastings (WA) McKinney McNulty Hayes Meehan Meek (FL) Hayworth Hefley Herger Meeks (NY) Hill (IN) Menendez Hill (MT) Metcalf

Hilleary

Millender-McDonaldMiller (FL) Miller, Gary Miller, George Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Moore Moran (KS) Moran (VA) Morella Murtha. Myrick Nadler Napolitano Neal Ney Northup Norwood Nussle Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Ose Owens Oxlev Packard Pallone Pascrell Pastor Paul Payne Pease Pelosi Peterson (MN) Peterson (PA) Petri Phelps Pickering Pickett Pitts Pombo Pomerov Porter Portman Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Quinn Rahall Ramstad Rangel Regula Reyes Revnolds Riley Rodriguez Roemer Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Rovce Rush Ryan (WI) Rvun (KS) Sabo Salmon Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sanford Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaffer Schakowsky Scott Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shavs Sherman Sherwood Shimkus Shows

Simpson

Sisisky

Skeen

Skelton Taylor (MS) Slaughter Taylor (NC) Wamp Smith (MI) Terry Waters Smith (NJ) Thomas Watkins Smith (TX) Thompson (CA) Watt (NC) Smith (WA) Thompson (MS) Watts (OK) Snyder Thornberry Waxman Souder Thune Weiner Spence Thurman Weldon (FL) Spratt Tiahrt Weldon (PA) Stabenow Tiernev Weller Stearns Toomev Weygand Stenholm Towns Whitfield Strickland Traficant Wicker Stump Turner Udall (CO) Wise Stupak Wolf Sununu Udall (NM) Woolsey Sweenev Upton Wu Talent Velazquez Tancredo Vento Wynn Tanner Visclosky Young (AK) Tauscher Vitter Young (FL) Tauzin Walden

NAYS-2

Stark

Berry

NOT VOTING-15

Everett Radanovich Baker Fletcher Brady (TX) Serrano Callahan Frank (MA) Shuster McIntosh Wexler Capps Conyers Nethercutt Wilson

□ 1903

Mr. BERRY changed his vote from to "nay." ''yea'

So the conference report was agreed

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, due to a family illness I was unable to attend votes today. Had I been here I would have made the following votes:

Rollcall No. 598—"no": 599—"ves": 600-"yes"; 601—"yes"; 602—"yes"; 603—"no"; 605—"no"; 606—"no"; 604—"no"; "yes"; 608—"no"; 609—"yes"; 610—"yes"; 611—"yes".

PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE-RE-TURNING TO THE SENATE S. 4. SOLDIERS', SAILORS', AIRMEN'S, AND MARINES' BILL OF RIGHTS ACT OF 1999

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of the privileges of the House, and I offer a privileged resolution (H. Res. 393) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 393

Resolved, That the bill of the Senate (S. 4) entitled the "Soldiers', Sailors', Airmen's, and Marines' Bill of Rights Act of 1999", in the opinion of this House, contravenes the first clause of the seventh section of the first article of the Constitution of the United States and is an infringement of the privileges of this House and that such bill be respectfully returned to the Senate with a message communicating this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). In the opinion of the Chair, the resolution constitutes a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

The gentleman from Illinois (Mr. Weller) is recognized for 30 minutes. Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield

myself such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, this resolution is necessary to return to the Senate the bill, S. 4, which contravenes the constitutional requirement that revenue measures shall originate in the House of

Representatives. Section 202 of the bill authorizes members of the Armed Forces to participate in the Federal Thrift Savings Plan and permits them to contribute any part of a special or incentive pay that they might receive. However, it also effectively provides that the limitations of Internal Revenue Code section 415 will not apply to those extra contributions. Thus, the provision allows certain members of the uniformed services to avoid the negative tax consequences that would otherwise result in their extra contributions to the TSP. Accordingly, the provision is revenue affecting in a constitutional sense.

There are numerous precedents for this action I am requesting.

I want to emphasize that this action speaks solely to the constitutional prerogative of the House and not to the merits of the Senate bill. Proposed action today is procedural in nature, and it is necessary to preserve the prerogatives of the House to originate revenue measures, makes clear to the Senate that the appropriate procedure for dealing with revenue measures is for the House to act first on a revenue bill and for the Senate to accept it or amend it as it sees fit.

This resolution is necessary to return to the Senate the bill S. 4. the "Soldiers'. Sailors'. Airmen's, and Marines' Bill of Rights Act of 1999." S. 4 contravenes the constitutional requirement that revenue measures shall originate in the House of Representatives.

S. 4 would provide a variety of benefits to members of the Armed Forces. I strongly support our Armed Forces and agree that we need to modernize our military and compensate our officers and enlisted personnel fairly. However, S. 4, as passed by the Senate, would not only increase the compensation of members of the Armed Forces. It would also modify the tax treatment of some of their compensation. This change in tax treatment causes S. 4 to violate the Origination Clause of the United States Constitution.

Section 202 of the bill generally authorizes members of the Armed Forces to participate in the Federal Thrift Savings Plan. In particular, section 202 of the bill adds a new section 8440e to Title 5 of the United States Code. New section 8440e generally permits members of the uniformed services or Ready Reserve who are authorized to participate in the Thrift Savings Plan to contribute up to 5 percent of their basic pay to the Thrift Savings Plan. In addition, subsection (d) of new section 8440e permits members of the uniformed services to contribute to the Thrift Savings

Plan any part of their special or incentive pay they receive under section 308, 308a through 308h, or 318 of title 37. The subsection further provides in effect that the limitations of Internal Revenue Code section 415 will not apply to such contribution. Code section 415 generally provides limitations on benefits and contributions under qualified employee benefit plans.

Thus, the effect of subsection (d) of new section 8440e is to override the limits on the Thrift Savings Plan contribution imposed by Internal Revenue Code section 415. By overriding Code section 415, the provision allows certain members of the uniformed services to avoid the negative tax consequences that would result from such contributions. Accordingly, the provision is revenue-affecting in a constitutional senses.

Plainly, allowing members of the Armed Forces to participate in the Thrift Savings Plan causes a reduction in revenues as a budget scorekeeping matter, since contributions to the Thrift Savings Plan reduce the taxable incomes of participants by operation of the existing tax laws, and therefore their tax liabilities. However, the reduction in Federal revenues is viewed as an indirect effect of the provision since the provision does not attempt to specify or modify the tax rules that would otherwise apply to the provision, and therefore does not offend the constitutional requirement. Rather, new subsection (d) offends the Origination Clause because it directly amends the internal revenue laws. Subsection (d) overrides the limitations imposed by Code section 415, thereby directly modifying the tax liability of individuals who would otherwise be subject to its limits. Such a provision is plainly revenue-affecting and therefore constitutes a revenue measure in the constitutional sense. Accordingly, I am asking that the House insist on its constitutional prerogatives.

There are numerous precedents for the action I am requesting. For example, on July 21, 1994, the House returned to the Senate S. 1030, containing a provision exempting certain veteran payments from taxation. On October 7, 1994, the House returned to the Senate S. 1216, containing provisions exempting certain settlement income from taxation. On September 27, 1996, the House returned to the Senate S. 1311, containing a provision that overrode the Federal income tax rules governing recognition of tax-exempt status.

I want to emphasize that this action speaks solely to the constitutional prerogative of the House and not to the merits of the Senate bill. The proposed action today is procedural in nature and is necessary to preserve the prerogatives of the House to originate revenue measures. It makes clear to the Senate that the appropriate procedure for dealing with revenue measures is for the House to act first on a revenue bill and for the Senate to accept it or amend it as it sees fit.

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WELLER. I yield to the gentleman from Missouri.

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, the bill of which the gentleman speaks, has that been previously passed here in the House?

Mr. WELLER. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. SKELTON. And the purpose of this is to comply with the Constitution

to state that it originates in the House; 10 minutes, which is what it has been is that correct? 10 minutes, which is what it has been since we passed the last one. How many

Mr. WELLER. Yes. This resolution does not address the merits of the legislation, which many Members on both sides of the aisle support. What it does is preserve the prerogatives of the House revenue-affecting measures originating in the House under the Constitution.

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman.

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I have no other speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MAKING FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to consider and pass House Joint Resolution 84, making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2000.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I think the House needs to understand exactly what it is we are doing, and I yield to the gentleman for the purpose of explaining what is happening again.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding.

Earlier this afternoon, we passed a continuing resolution taking us to December 2, 1999. Our colleagues in the Senate have asked that we extend that by one day, mainly because they need a clean vehicle over there, and that is exactly what this is, it extends continuing spending authority from December 2 to December 3, and it gives our colleagues in the Senate a clean vehicle that they need to conduct their business.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, continuing under my reservation, I would simply note two things and then ask a question.

When we were debating how dairy would be handled, we were told that it had to be on the budget because we did not have any other vehicles. Now, in the space of about 15 minutes, the House has created two additional vehicles. I am beginning to think that we are making the keystone cops look like Barishnikov.

Mr. Speaker, I do not understand what the magic difference is between December 2 and December 3. Perhaps we could reach a compromise on December 2½. I do not know what is going on.

I mean, I have heard of continuing resolutions for a year, an hour, but not

10 minutes, which is what it has been since we passed the last one. How many more are we going to have to pass before we get our act together tonight?

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield further, my response to his question is rather simple. I have been advised that if we do not provide an extra vehicle for the Senate, it may be necessary for the House to either stay in session or reconvene tomorrow or the next day in order to complete legislative business. I am also advised that if they have a clean vehicle, it is very likely that we would not have to be back here sitting as the House.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, continuing under my reservation, I would say I thought that is what we were told a few minutes ago, that we needed to pass the last one so we would not be in session.

I hope that sooner or later, we get things right.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield further, I would like to say to my friend and my colleague with whom we have worked so well together throughout this year that in my opinion, we have done things right here; and I cannot answer for any other venue.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, continuing under my reservation, I do not quarrel with that statement with respect to the committee, but I do think that this process, I have to say, has been the most chaotic that I have seen in the 31 years that I have been privileged to be a Member of this body. I do not think what is happening is the fault of the gentleman from Florida, it certainly is not mine, but I would hope that when we return in the first of the year in the next millennium, we will have a different set of arrangements that will enable us to do things in a quite different fashion.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the joint resolution, as follows:

H.J. RES. 84

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Public Law 106-62 is further amended by striking "November 18, 1999" in section 106(c) and inserting in lieu thereof "December 3, 1999", and by striking "\$346,483,754" in section 119 and inserting in lieu thereof "\$755,719,054". Public Law 106-46 is amended by striking "November 18, 1999" and inserting in lieu thereof "December 3, 1999".

The joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.